Application Number	10/699,565		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination DOMBROSKI ET AL.			
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Document – DO NOT MAIL				

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	☐ APPROVED	☑ DISAPPROVED
Date Filed : 19 Oct 2004	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer	

Approved/Disapproved by:		
Patricia Volpe Paralegal Specialist 3600		
No Power of Attorney in the file	,	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

DATE: 11/03/04 TO: EXAMINER: Jason Bellinger FROM PARALEGAL SPECIALIST: Patricia Volpe						APP	L. S.N.: Al	: RT UN	10/699,5 IT:	3617	
Case Dro	p-Off Loc	ation: CP	K5-8T01								
appropria disagree d	CTIONS: ite form pa or have an	I have rev aragraphs y question	viewed the so identified by ns, please se	ubmitted T y this infor e me or th	r.D. with rmal mem e Special	the results a no in your n Program Ex	xaminer. THI	clow. If tion to it S IS Al	notify a	pplicant o RMAL, I	f the T.D. If you
	The T.D.	is PROPI	ER and has l	een recor	ded (see ¶	¶14.23).					
\boxtimes	The T.D.	ne T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24):):	
	of a depo	The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the us deposit account (see ¶ 14.26.07).									
		The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01).									
		The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause - needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01).									
•		The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the terms of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02).									
ı	The person who signed the T.D.:										
	is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01).										
			has failed t	o state his	/her capa	city to sign	for the busine	ess enti	ty (see	¶ 14.28).	
			is not recog	gnized as a	an officer	of the assig	gnee (see ¶ 14	.29 & p	possible	14.29.02).
		No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submit nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30).							office (see 37 CFR eel and frame		
		The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03).									
		The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32).									
							r of the paten 4.27.02 or 14			reissue ca	ses being
		The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see \$ \$ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).									
correspor	⊠ ndence ade		t appears tha	it Power o	f Attorney	y hasn't bee	n given to an	yone, th	ney hav	e only sub	omitted a